

Standard #4 Measurement and Analysis of Student Learning and Performance

Use this table to supply data for Criterion 4.2.

Performance Indicator	Definition												
1. Student Learning Results	A student learning outcome is one that measures a specific competency attainment. <i>Examples of a direct assessment (evidence) of student learning attainment that might be used include: capstone performance, third-party examination, faculty-designed examination, professional performance, licensure examination.</i> Add these to the description of the												
	Analysis of Results												
Performance Measure	What is your measurement instrument or process?	Current Results	Analysis of Results	Action Taken or Improvement made	Insert Graphs or Tables of Resulting Trends (3-5 data points preferred)								
Measurable goal	Do not use grades.	What are your current results?	What did you learn from the results?	What did you improve or what is your next step?									
What is your goal?	(Indicate type of instrument) direct, formative, internal, comparative												
65% of the students taking the Business MFT will score at or above the national mean score of 150.	Data derived from the Business MFT.	The mean score for graduating accountnig students was 124 during 2016-2017.	An analysis of the mean scores for individual accounting students identified the greatest deficiencies in the areas of Quantitative Business Analysis and Economics. As a result, accounting faculty evaluated courses to better align content with assignment indicators identified in the MFT and incorporated additional coursework, emphasizing quantitative analysis and economic factors to enhance	Accounting faculty will continue to evaluate learning outcomes and course resources to enhance alignment between content covered and focus areas of the MFT. A MFT Sample Questionnaire for Accounting will be incorporated into the Senior Seminar course. In addition, faculty will continue to emphasize course content and assignments focused on the most deficient results of the exam for accounting students.	<div style="text-align: center;"> <table border="1" style="margin: 10px auto; border-collapse: collapse;"> <caption>Accounting MFT Scores</caption> <thead> <tr> <th>Year</th> <th>Score</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>AY 14-15</td> <td>130</td> </tr> <tr> <td>AY 15-16</td> <td>145</td> </tr> <tr> <td>AY 16-17</td> <td>125</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> </div>	Year	Score	AY 14-15	130	AY 15-16	145	AY 16-17	125
Year	Score												
AY 14-15	130												
AY 15-16	145												
AY 16-17	125												

<p>65% of the students taking the Business MFT will score at or above the national mean score.</p>	<p>Data derived from the Business MFT.</p>	<p>The mean score for graduating business administration students was 126 during academic year 2016-2017.</p>	<p>Evaluation of MFT mean scores of Business Administration students revealed functional areas of weaknesses in, Economics, Quantitative Business Analysis, and Marketing. These areas are the focus for faculty emphasis. Evaluation of all Business Administration courses to ensure assessment indicators (subject areas) are being covered in the classroom so that students are prepared when they are administered the MFT.</p>	<p>Evaluated course learning outcomes, textbooks, and syllabi. Instructors were provided a copy of the MFT item information report identifying assessment indicators, content areas, and sub-content areas for all 120 questions. This information was intended to help faculty to prepare course content. Moreover, a greater emphasis on the three assessment indicators, Economics, Quantitative Analysis, and Marketing, which received the lowest mean score percent correct are being emphasized in specific upper-level business courses.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Business Administration MFT</p> <table border="1"> <caption>Business Administration MFT Scores</caption> <thead> <tr> <th>Academic Year</th> <th>Shorter Bar (Mean)</th> <th>Taller Bar (Target)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>AY 14-15</td> <td>129</td> <td>150</td> </tr> <tr> <td>AY 15-16</td> <td>133</td> <td>150</td> </tr> <tr> <td>AY 16-17</td> <td>126</td> <td>150</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Academic Year	Shorter Bar (Mean)	Taller Bar (Target)	AY 14-15	129	150	AY 15-16	133	150	AY 16-17	126	150
Academic Year	Shorter Bar (Mean)	Taller Bar (Target)															
AY 14-15	129	150															
AY 15-16	133	150															
AY 16-17	126	150															
<p>Management Program 65% of the students taking the Business MFT will score at or above the national mean score.</p>	<p>Data derived from the Business MFT.</p>	<p>The mean score for graduating management students was 126 during the academic year 2016-2017</p>	<p>Evaluation of the results identified subject areas that need to be strengthened within the Management program. These areas of weakness are: Economics, Marketing, Finance, Accounting, and Quantitative Business Analysis.</p>	<p>The faculty continued to evaluate the results of the MFT using the Item Information Report to identify assessment indicators, content areas, and sub-content areas that need strengthening. This information is shared with all instructors to aid in course design, course learning outcomes, and textbook section.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Management MFT</p> <table border="1"> <caption>Management MFT Scores</caption> <thead> <tr> <th>Academic Year</th> <th>Shorter Bar (Mean)</th> <th>Taller Bar (Target)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>AY 14-15</td> <td>130</td> <td>150</td> </tr> <tr> <td>AY 15-16</td> <td>137</td> <td>150</td> </tr> <tr> <td>AY 16-17</td> <td>126</td> <td>150</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Academic Year	Shorter Bar (Mean)	Taller Bar (Target)	AY 14-15	130	150	AY 15-16	137	150	AY 16-17	126	150
Academic Year	Shorter Bar (Mean)	Taller Bar (Target)															
AY 14-15	130	150															
AY 15-16	137	150															
AY 16-17	126	150															