



1140 19th Street, NW | Suite 400
Washington, DC 20036
tel: 202.223.0077 | fax: 202.296.6620
caepnet.org

November 9, 2021

Dr. Bobbi Knight
President
Miles College
5500 Myron Massey Boulevard
Fairfield, AL 35064

Dear Dr. Knight:

The Accreditation Council of the Council for the Accreditation of Educator Preparation (CAEP) met on October 25, 2021, and I am pleased to inform you that the following accreditation status has been granted:

The Division of Education at Miles College is granted **Accreditation** at the initial-licensure level as described in the Accreditation Action Report.

Included with this letter are two subsequent documents:

- 1) The Accreditation Action Report provides details of the accreditation status.
- 2) Information for EPPs Granted Accreditation provides further information on the Council's decision process and provider responsibilities during the accreditation term.

Congratulations on your accreditation achievement. I appreciate your commitment to excellence in educator preparation accreditation.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Christopher A. Koch". The signature is written in a cursive, slightly stylized font.

Christopher A. Koch, Ed.D.
President

Enclosures: Accreditation Action Report, Certificate of Accreditation (mailed to provider leadership), and Information on CAEP Accreditation

cc: Dr. Anthony C. Greene, Division of Education
Dr. Yvette M. Richardson, Division of Education
Carolyn Jordan, Division of Education
Dr. Jayne A. Meyer, Alabama State Department of Education
Dr. Anna T. Kozlowski, Alabama State Department of Education
Dr. Amanda Inabinett, Alabama State Department of Education



Council for the
Accreditation of
Educator Preparation

ACCREDITATION ACTION REPORT

Division of Education
Miles College
Fairfield, Alabama

Accreditation Council October 2021

Accreditation Application Date: 5/2/2006

This is the official record of the Educator Preparation Provider's accreditation status.

The Educator Preparation Provider should retain this document for at least two accreditation cycles.

ACCREDITATION DECISION

Accreditation is granted at the initial-licensure level. This Accreditation status is effective between Fall 2021 and Fall 2028. The next site visit will take place in Spring 2028.

SUMMARY OF STANDARDS

CAEP STANDARDS	INITIAL-LICENSURE LEVEL	ADVANCED-LEVEL
STANDARD 1/A.1: Content and Pedagogical Knowledge	Met	Not Applicable
STANDARD 2/A.2: Clinical Partnerships and Practice	Met	Not Applicable
STANDARD 3/A.3: Candidate Quality, Recruitment, And Selectivity	Met	Not Applicable
STANDARD 4/A.4: Program Impact	Met	Not Applicable
STANDARD 5/A.5: Provider Quality Assurance and Continuous Improvement	Met	Not Applicable

AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT AND STIPULATIONS

Areas for Improvement: Identified areas for improvement are addressed in the provider's annual report.

Stipulations: Stipulations are addressed in the provider's annual report and must be corrected within two years to retain accreditation.

INITIAL-LICENSURE LEVEL AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT AND STIPULATIONS

STANDARD 3: Candidate Quality, Recruitment, And Selectivity

	Areas for Improvement	Rationale
1	The EPP provided limited evidence of monitoring candidate program transition points. (component 3.4)	There was insufficient clarity about the progress monitoring transition points, the record- keeping around them, and the actions required and taken for candidate progress monitoring. During on-site virtual interviews, key faculty and administrators could not confirm the formal system for monitoring and assessing candidate

	progression through program transition points, including dispositional assessments.
--	---

STANDARD 5: Provider Quality Assurance and Continuous Improvement

	Areas for Improvement	Rationale
1	There is insufficient evidence that the provider maintains a quality assurance system that can monitor candidate progress and provider operational effectiveness. (component 5.1)	While the EPP has created and provided evidence of the many components of their Quality Assurance System, in on-site interviews key administrators, stakeholders and faculty could not clearly outline key assessments, identify dispositions, outline evaluation systems of clinical teachers and interns, and give an overall picture of how their QAS is being used to establish a process for continuous improvement.

AREA(S) FOR IMPROVEMENT OR WEAKNESS(ES) from previous legacy accreditor review (NCATE or TEAC)

Removed:

Area for Improvement or Weakness	Rationale
1) The unit does not ensure that all candidates can demonstrate a positive impact on P-12 student learning.	1) Removed; This was resolved with evidence in the SSR and Addendum.
2) Data are not systematically aggregated to the program level allowing for program and unit improvement.	2) Removed; This was resolved with evidence in the SSR and Addendum.
3) Early field experiences do not provide opportunities for candidates to develop and demonstrate professional knowledge, skills, and dispositions to improve P-12 student learning	3) Removed; This was resolved with evidence in the SSR and Addendum.
4) The unit does not ensure that all candidates have field and clinical experiences with P-12 students from different socioeconomic groups, diverse ethnic/racial groups, English language learners, and students with disabilities	4) Removed; This was resolved with evidence in the SSR and Addendum.

INFORMATION ABOUT ACCREDITATION STATUSES

Accreditation for seven (7) years is granted if the EPP meets all CAEP Standards and components, even if areas for improvement (AFIs) are identified in the final report of the Accreditation Council.

- **Areas for Improvement (AFIs)** indicate areas which must be improved by the time of the next accreditation visit. Progress reports on remediation of AFIs are submitted as part of the Annual Report. AFIs not remediated by a subsequent site review may become stipulations.

Accreditation with stipulations is granted for 2 years if an EPP meets all standards but receives a stipulation on a component under any standard. Failure to submit a response to the stipulation within a two (2)-year time frame results in revocation. Failure to correct the condition leading to the stipulation within the specified two (2)-year period results in revocation or probation.

- **Stipulations** describe serious deficiencies in meeting CAEP Standards and/or components and must be brought into compliance in order to continue accreditation. All stipulations and relevant evidence are reviewed by the Accreditation Council. Failure to correct the condition leading to the stipulation results in probation or revocation of accreditation.

Probationary Accreditation is granted for two (2) years when an EPP does not meet one (1) of the CAEP Standards. Failure to submit a response to the stipulation within a two (2)-year time frame results in revocation. Failure to correct the condition leading to the stipulation within the specified two (2)-year period results in revocation.

SCOPE OF ACCREDITATION

The scope of CAEP's work is the accreditation of educator preparation providers (EPPs) that offer bachelor's, master's, and/or doctoral degrees, post-baccalaureate or other programs leading to certification, licensure, or endorsement in the United States and/or internationally. (2018).

CAEP does not accredit specific degree programs, rather EPPs must include information, data, and other evidence on the following in their submission for CAEP's review:

All licensure areas that prepare candidates to work in preschool through grade 12 settings at the initial-licensure and advanced levels that lead to professional licensure, certification, or endorsement as defined by the state, country, or other governing authority under which the EPP operates and for which the state, country, or other governing authority has established program approval standards.

Depending on an EPP's submission, accreditation may be awarded at one or both of the following levels: Initial-Licensure level and/or Advanced-Level.

1. **Initial-Licensure Level Accreditation** is provided at the baccalaureate or post-baccalaureate levels leading to initial-licensure, certification, or endorsement that are designed to develop P-12 teachers.
2. **Advanced-Level Accreditation** is provided at the post-baccalaureate or graduate levels leading to licensure, certification, or endorsement. Advanced-Level Programs are designed to develop P-12 teachers who have already completed an initial-licensure program, currently licensed administrators, or other certified (or similar state language) school professionals for employment in P-12 schools/districts. CAEP's Advanced-Level accreditation does not include any advanced-level program not specific to the preparation of teachers or other school professionals for P-12 schools/districts; any advanced-level non-licensure programs, including those specific to content areas (e.g., M.A., M.S., Ph.D.); or Educational leadership programs not specific to the preparation of teachers or other school professionals for P-12 schools/districts.

Information on accreditation status, terms, and any conditions provided within this directory is specific to the accreditation level(s) described above. CAEP-accredited EPPs are required to distinguish accurately between programs that are accredited and those that are not.

NOTE: *Neither CAEP staff, evaluation team members, nor other agents of CAEP are empowered to make or modify Accreditation Council decisions. These remain the sole responsibility of the Council itself.*

End of Action Report



Council for the
Accreditation of
Educator Preparation

Information for EPPs Granted Accreditation

Accreditation Council Decision

Accreditation is granted when the Accreditation Council determines that an educator preparation provider (EPP) meets all CAEP Standards, even if areas for improvement (AFIs) are identified in the final decision.

The full Accreditation Council makes all final decisions relevant to the CAEP Standards based on evidence submitted by the EPP, findings from the site team, and sufficiency of evidence for each standard, and then acts upon the recommendations from the initial and joint panel reviews. The Council pays particular attention to consistency across all of the accreditation decisions.

The Action Report is the official record of your accreditation status and should be used to review and guide your provider's efforts continue to meet the CAEP Standards.

Consumer Information and Representation of Accreditation to the Public

CAEP requires accredited EPPs to provide consumer information to the public, including candidate performance data. Title II data must be publicly available on the website (Accreditation Policy V.1.01).

When representing its accreditation to the public, an EPP must report the accreditation decision accurately, including the specific academic or instructional programs covered by the accreditation, and the address and telephone number of the Council for the Accreditation of Educator Preparation as provided on the CAEP website. The official statement to be publicly displayed on the EPP's website is provided by CAEP following the Accreditation Council action, as defined by the CAEP Communication Guidelines. (Accreditation Council Policy IV.1.18)

The accreditation status and term will be posted on the CAEP website at:
<http://caepnet.org/provider-search>

Annual Reports

All EPPs must submit an Annual Report each year in order to maintain accreditation or accreditation-eligibility. The report is opened for entry each year in January and EPPs are given 90 days from the date of system availability to complete the report (Accreditation Policy V.3.01).